Efficient and Regioselective 9-*Endo* Cyclization of α -Carbamoyl Radicals

Liyan Song, Kun Liu, and Chaozhong Li*

Key Laboratory of Synthetic Chemistry of Natural Substances, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China

clig@mail.sioc.ac.cn

Received May 4, 2011





ABSTRACT

With the promotion of Lewis acid BF₃•OEt₂, various *N*-(hex-5-enyl)-2-iodoalkanamides underwent efficient and regioselective 9-*endo* iodine-atomtransfer radical cyclization reactions at room temperature. The cyclized products were readily converted to the corresponding azonan-2-ones by reduction with Bu₃SnH or to hexahydroindolizin-3(5*H*)-ones by treatment with aqueous Na₂CO₃ in a one-pot, two-stage manner.

Azonan-2-ones, along with their reduction product azonanes, are structural motifs in a number of biologically active natural products such as rhazinilam-type indole alkaloids¹ and *Lycopodium* alkaloids.² They are also versatile intermediates in organic synthesis. However, the efficient and general synthesis of nine-membered lactams is still a challenging task. They are often prepared by indirect methods such as ring expansion reactions³ or Claisen rearrangement⁴ of heterocyclic enamines. The

10.1021/ol201180g © 2011 American Chemical Society Published on Web 06/07/2011

direct construction of the nine-membered ring via Beckmann rearrangement⁵ or the dehydration of ω -amino acids⁶ suffers from the low chemoselectivity or limited scope of application. The recently developed ring-closing metathesis⁷ requires the use of expensive and air-sensitive metal complexes. Herein we report that the 9-*endo* cyclization of α -carbamoyl radicals provides an efficient and convenient entry to various substituted azonan-2-ones.

Radical cyclizations have gained increasing popularity in organic synthesis in the past decades.⁸ However, most studies were centered on the 5-*exo* or 6-*exo* cyclizations, while other types of cyclization⁹ such as 9-*endo* cyclization were much less investigated. Nevertheless, scattered examples could be found in the literature on the 9-*endo* cyclizations of aryl,¹⁰ vinyl,¹¹ alkyl,¹² and α -ester radicals.¹³ On the other hand, the 9-*endo* cyclization of α -carbamoyl

⁽¹⁾ For examples, see: (a) Subramaniam, G.; Hiraku, O.; Hayashi, M.; Koyano, T.; Komiyama, K.; Kam, T.-S. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1783–1789. (b) Kam, T. S.; Subramaniam, G.; Chen, W. Phytochemistry 1999, 51, 159–169. (c) Kam, T. S.; Subramaniam, G. Nat. Prod. Lett. 1998, 11, 131–136.

⁽²⁾ For examples, see: (a) Takayama, H.; Katakawa, K.; Kitajima, M.; Yamaguchi, K.; Aimi, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, *43*, 8307–8311.
(b) Takayama, H.; Katakawa, K.; Kitajima, M.; Seki, H.; Yamaguchi, K.; Aimi, N. *Org. Lett.* 2001, *3*, 4165–4167.

^{(3) (}a) Lu, H.; Yuan, X.; Zhu, S.; Sun, C.; Li, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 73, 8665–8668. (b) Zhao, Q.; Li, C. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 4037–4040. (c) Dupont, C.; Guenard, D.; Tchertanov, L.; Thoret, S.; Gueritte, F. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **1999**, 7, 2961–2969.

^{(4) (}a) Evans, P. A.; Holmes, A. B.; McGeary, R. P.; Nadin, A.; Russell, K.; O'Hanlon, P. J.; Pearson, N. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1996**, 123–138. (b) Edstrom, E. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 6690– 6692.

⁽⁵⁾ For examples, see: (a) Elliott, I. W.; Sloan, M. J.; Tate, E. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 8063–8072. (b) Olson, G. L.; Voss, M. E.; Hill, D. E.; Kahn, M.; Madison, V. S.; Cook, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 323–333.

⁽⁶⁾ For examples, see: (a) Beck, E. M.; Hatley, R.; Gaunt, M. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3004–3007. (b) Pascal, C.; Dubois, J.; Guenard, D.; Gueritte, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 6414–6420.

^{(7) (}a) Bowie, A. L.; Trauner, D. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1581–1586.
(b) Einsiedel, J.; Lanig, H.; Waibel, R.; Gmeiner, P. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9102–9113.
(c) Chacun-Lefevre, L.; Beneteau, V.; Joseph, B.; Merour, J.-Y. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 10181–10188.

⁽⁸⁾ For selected reviews, see: (a) Giese, B. Radicals in Organic Synthesis: Formation of Carbon–Carbon Bond; Pergamon: Oxford, U. K., 1986. (b) Curran, D. P. Synthesis 1988, 417–439. (c) Curran, D. P. Synthesis 1988, 489–513. (d) Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001. (e) Rowlands, G. J. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 8603–8655. (f) Rowlands, G. J. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 1593–1636.

⁽⁹⁾ For reviews, see: (a) Srikrishna, A. In *Radicals in Organic Synthesis*; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; Vol. 2, pp 151–187. (b) Yet, L. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 9349–9403.

radicals remains unexplored. Owing to our interest in the reactivity of α -carbamoyl radicals,¹⁴ we set out to explore this possibility.

Scheme 1. Reactions of Bromoacetamides with $Bu_3SnH/AIBN$



2-Bromo-*N*-(hex-5-enyl)acetamide (1) was initially used as the substrate. The slow addition (with the aid of a syringe pump) of the benzene solution of Bu₃SnH and AIBN into 1 in benzene (0.03 M) at reflux yielded only a trace amount of the expected cyclization-reduction product 2a, the major product being the direct reduction product 3 (Scheme 1). Similarly, the reaction of benzofused amide 4 with Bu₃SnH/AIBN gave the cyclized product 5 in only 36% yield while the direct reduction product 6 was obtained in 63% yield.

We then turned to examine the corresponding iodineatom-transfer cyclization reactions.^{15,16} Iodoacetamides

(11) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Aragoncillo, C. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1612–1620.

(14) (a) Fang, X.; Liu, K.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 2274–2283. (b) Liu, L.; Chen, Q.; Wu, Y.-D.; Li, C. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, 70, 1539–1544. (c) Liu, L.; Wang, X.; Li, C. Org. Lett. **2003**, 5, 361–363.

Scheme 2. Iodine-Atom-Transfer Reactions of Iodoacetamides



7a and 9a were employed for this purpose. The direct cyclization of 7a under the catalysis of BEt_3/O_2 or $(Bu_3Sn)_2/hv$ proceeded sluggishly. However, we were delighted to find that the cyclization was significantly accelerated by Lewis acid $BF_3 \bullet OEt_2$.^{17,18} When substrate **7a** was treated with (Bu₃Sn)₂ (30 mol %) and BF₃•OEt₂ (300 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂ at rt under sunlamp irradiation, the expected azonanone 8a was obtained in 91% yield (Scheme 2). No products derived from the 8-exo cyclization could be detected. The reaction was very clean except that a slow decomposition of 8a was observed during the workup procedure. Substrate 9a also underwent cyclization smoothly under the above conditions. However, the cyclized product 10a was very unstable. It underwent fast decomposition during the purification step, and hexahydroindolizinone 11a was detected, which could be generated via intramolecular nucleophilic substitution. Indeed, when the crude product 10a, without purification, was directly treated with an aqueous Na₂CO₃ solution, the bicyclic product 11a was isolated in 46% yield based on the substrate 9a. To improve the yield of 11a, we switched the solvent from CH₂Cl₂ to CH₃CN. The radical cyclization in CH₃CN proceeded nicely as well under the promotion of BF₃•OEt₂. After the same workup with Na₂CO₃, **11a** was secured in 64% yield. Presumably the intermediate 10a was more stable in CH₃CN than in CH₂Cl₂.

Thus, a number of iodoamide substrates were subjected to the above radical cyclization conditions in either CH₂Cl₂ or CH₃CN followed by workup with aqueous Na₂CO₃. The results are listed in Table 1. In all cases the expected indolizinones were obtained in good to excellent yields. With benzo-fused substrates 7b-7g, the corresponding tricyclic products 12b-12g were obtained as mixtures of two stereoisomers. The configurations of the two stereoisomers of 12b were unambiguously determined by the coupling constants of related protons and by 2D NOESY experiments (see the Supporting Information).

^{(10) (}a) Majhi, T. P.; Neogi, A.; Ghosh, S.; Mukherjee, A. K.; Helliwell, M.; Chattopadhyay, P. *Synthesis* **2008**, 94–100. (b) Majhi, T. P.; Neogi, A.; Ghosh, S.; Mukherjee, A. K.; Chattopadhyay, P. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 12003–12010. (c) Maiti, S.; Drew, M. G. B.; Mukhopadhyay, R.; Achari, B.; Banerjee, A. K. *Synthesis* **2005**, 3067– 3078. (d) Gibson, S. E.; Guillo, N.; Tozer, M. J. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 637–638. (e) Ghosh, K.; Ghatak, U. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 4897– 4900.

^{(12) (}a) Galatsis, P.; Millan, S. D.; Faber, T. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1215–1220. (b) Pattenden, G.; Smithies, A. J.; Tapolczay, D.; Walter, D. S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1996, 7–19. (c) Helmboldt, A.; Petitou, M.; Mallet, J.-M.; Herault, J.-P.; Lormeau, J.-C.; Driguez, P. A.; Herbert, J.-M.; Sinay, P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1997, 7 1507–1510.

^{(13) (}a) Thommes, K.; Icli, B.; Scopelliti, R.; Severin, K. Chem.—Eur. J. 2007, 13, 6899–6907. (b) Yorimitsu, H.; Nakamura, T.; Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K.; Omoto, K.; Fujimoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11041–11047. (c) de Campo, F.; Lastecoueres, D.; Verlhac, J.-B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 575–580. (d) Pirrung, F. O. H.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N.; Kaptein, B.; Schoemaker, H. E. Synthesis 1995, 458–472. (e) Pirrung, F. O. H.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N.; Kaptein, B.; Schoemaker, H. E. Synthesis 1995, 458–472. (e) Pirrung, F. O. H.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N.; Kaptein, B.; Schoemaker, H. E., Speckamp, W. N.; Kaptein, B.; Schoemaker, H. E.; Speckamp, W. N. Synlett 1993, 739–740. (g) Pirrung, F. O. H.; Steeman, W. J. M.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N.; Kaptein, B.; Boesten, H. J.; Schoemaker, H. E.; Kamphuis, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 5141–5144.

⁽¹⁵⁾ For a review, see: Byers, J. In *Radicals in Organic Synthesis*; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; Vol. 1, pp 72–89.

⁽¹⁶⁾ For a seminal example of iodine-transfer radical cyclization reactions, see: Curran, D. P.; Chen, M.-H.; Kim, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, *108*, 2489–2490.

⁽¹⁷⁾ For a review on the effect of Lewis acids in radical reactions, see: Renaud, P.; Gerster, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1998**, *37*, 2562–2579.

⁽¹⁸⁾ For examples on the use of BF₃•OEt₂ in radical cyclizations, see: (a) Wang, J.; Li, C. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 67, 1271–1276. (b) Fang, X.; Xia, H.; Yu, H.; Dong, X.; Chen, M.; Wang, Q.; Tao, F.; Li, C. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 67, 8481–8488. (c) References 14a and 14b.





^{*a*} Reaction conditions: (1) iodoamide (0.3 mmol), (Bu₃Sn)₂ (0.09 mmol), BF₃•OEt₂ (0.9 mmol), solvent (10 mL), rt, *hv*, 2 h, then (2) saturated aqueous Na₂CO₃ (10 mL), rt, 5 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield based on the corresponding iodoamide. ^{*c*} Determined by ¹H NMR (400 MHz). ^{*d*} Solvent: CH₂Cl₂. ^{*c*} Solvent: CH₃CN.

Interestingly, the substituents R α to the carbonyl group showed a remarkable influence on the product stereoselectivity. The ratio of *trans* to *cis* isomers increases when the size of R increases. These ratios might reflect the stereoselectivity of 9-*endo* radical cyclization if we assume that the intramolecular nucleophilic substitution is an S_N2 process. Indeed, prior to the basic workup, the reaction of **7b** afforded the iodo-substituted azonanone (analogous to **8a**) as the mixture of two stereoisomers in a 3:1 ratio determined by the crude ¹H NMR, consistent with the *trans/cis* ratio of **12b** (entry 2, Table 1). Note that the ratio did not change with reaction time, implying that no equilibrium between the two stereoisomers occurred under the reaction conditions. For substrates 9a-9d without a benzo-fused substituent, the product yields were lower presumably due to the partial decomposition of the azonanone intermediates. While substrate 9b with an α -carbonyl substituent gave the mixture of two stereoisomers in 1:1 ratio, substrate 9dhaving a phenyl group α to the nitrogen afforded the product 11d with a high stereoselectivity. The reason for this difference is not clear at this moment.

In light of the above results and in order to obtain stable azonanone products, we designed the following experiment (eq 1). After the $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ -promoted cyclization of **9a** was complete (as indicated by TLC), Bu_3SnH (2 equiv) and BEt_3 (0.5 equiv) were added into the reaction mixture to reduce the iodo-containing intermediate **10a**. Azonanone **2a** was thus obtained in 57% yield in a one-pot, two-stage manner. It is worth mentioning that the direct treatment of **9a** with $Bu_3SnH/AIBN$ in benzene at reflux yielded the direct reduction product **3** only.



The above cyclization-reduction sequence was thus applied to a number of unsaturated iodoamides, and the results are summarized in Table 2. The reactions were highly regioselective, and no products derived from 8-*exo* cyclization could be detected. These results parallel closely with those in Table 1.





Encouraged by the above excellent regioselectivity of cyclization, we moved one step further to study the reaction of iodoamide **13** with two methyl substituents, one α to the amide carbonyl and the other α to the nitrogen (Scheme 3). The cyclization was carried out in CH₂Cl₂. After the reduction with Bu₃SnH, the product **14** was isolated in 61% yield as a single isomer, whose configuration was finally determined to be 3,9-*cis* by the X-ray diffraction experiment. This example indicates that the 9-*endo* cyclization can be highly stereoselective.



^aReaction conditions: (1) iodoamide (0.3 mmol), (Bu₃Sn)₂ (0.09 mmol), BF3•OEt2 (0.9 mmol), solvent (10 mL), rt, hy, 2 h, then (2) Bu₃SnH (0.6 mmol), BEt₃ (0.15 mmol), rt, dark, 2 h. ^b Isolated yields based on the corresponding iodoamides. ^cSolvent: CH₂Cl₂. ^dSolvent: CH₃CN.

To gain further insight into the reactivity of α -carbamoyl radicals in 9-endo cyclization, we performed the density functional calculations on radical 7R derived from substrate 7a. The activation free energies calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G* level are listed in Scheme 4. The cyclization may proceed via two possible pathways, the E-conformational transition states (TSs) or the Z-conformational TSs. In both cases the activation energy for 9-endo cyclization is Scheme 4. Calculated (B3LYP/6-31G*) Activation Free Energies



lower than that for the corresponding 8-exo cyclization, consistent with the experimental observations. Moreover, the activation energy for 9-endo cyclization via the Z-conformational TS (11.2 kcal/mol) is lower than the energy barrier (13.5 kcal/mol) for the Z to E interconversion of the substrate radical. The activation energy for cyclization is expected to be further lowered in the presence of Lewis acid BF₃•OEt₂.^{14a} Therefore, it is more likely that the 9-endo cyclization proceeds via the Z-conformational TS.

In conclusion, the above experiments in combination with theoretical calculations have clearly demonstrated that the 9-endo cyclization of α -carbamoyl radicals is an efficient and highly regioselective process. The cyclization can be significantly promoted by BF₃•OEt₂. The iodineatom-transfer cyclization followed by reduction with Bu₃SnH allows the convenient generation of various substituted nine-membered lactams. Furthermore, the 9-endo iodine-atom-transfer cyclization products can be effectively converted to the corresponding bicyclic indolizinones by the simple workup with Na₂CO₃, which should be an important application in organic synthesis.

Acknowledgment. This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 20832006 and 21072211) and by the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2010CB833206).

Supporting Information Available. Full experimental procedures, compound characterizations, copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, DFT calculation results, and crystallographic data in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs. acs.org.

Table 2. Synthesis of Azonan-2-ones